UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,929	10/09/2003	John W. Rapp	1934-13-3	2222
996 GRAYBEAL J	7590 02/18/201 ACKSON LLP	EXAMINER		
400 - 108TH AVENUE NE			HUISMAN, DAVID J	
	SUITE 700 BELLEVUE, WA 98004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/683,929	RAPP ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID J. HUISMAN	2183
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ication. cory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON [*] I, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	D This action is non-final. Tallowance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16,41-50 and 66-85 is/are page 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16, 41-50, and 66-85 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the short of the	is/are: a) accepted or b) object on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand be correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/6/10, 10/13/10, 12/16/10, 1/ 	Paper No(s 5) Notice of In	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/683,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16, 41-50, and 66-85 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

- 2. In the IDs filed on October 6, 2010, the NPL documents have not been considered (indicated via strike-through) because the citations fail to include relevant pages, a requirement of 37 CFR 1.98(b)(5).
- 3. In the IDs filed on December 16, 2010, the NPL document has not been considered (indicated via strike-through) because it is a duplicate citation with respect to the IDS filed on October 6, 2010.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: Please replace "instruction:", in line 9, with --instruction, to:--, and delete "to" from the beginning of each subsequent step. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claims 7-8, 66-68, 71-75, and 78-79 are objected for the same reason that claim 6 is objected to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-16, 41-50, and 66-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. With respect to claim 1, the examiner asserts that the limitation "without using a virtual address" (and associated limitations) is new matter with respect to the original specification. The original specification (paragraphs [97]-[98]) merely sets forth an "address of the pipeline unit" or "an identifier that identifies the pipeline(s)". This address can be rejected using any kind of address (virtual, physical, etc.). Applicant's attempt to subsequently narrow the originally disclosed address to be a physical address, as argued, and not a virtual address is new matter. This new matter was first added to the claims on January 6, 2010. Therefore, the examiner apologizes for the delay in this rejection. However, the current rejection is a result of reconsideration.

The remaining independent claims are rejected for similar reasons.

All dependent claims are rejected for including new matter because they are dependent on claims including new matter.

It should be noted that if applicant deletes the new matter, to substantially return the claims to those filed on August 5, 2009, before the new matter was introduced, then the claims will be rejected under Inagaki, as modified, in substantially the same manner that the claims were rejected on October 5, 2009.

8. Claims 1-16, 41-50, and 66-85 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

Art Unit: 2183

described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, without undue experimentation. With respect to claim 1, applicant first claims a message header having information indicating one but fewer than all of the processing pipelines (lines 5-7), and later claims generating, from the information, an identifier indicating the at least one processing pipeline without using a virtual address (lines 12-13). Therefore, the examiner understands this portion of the claim as illustrated below:

Header info \rightarrow Identifier \rightarrow Pipeline(s)

In this situation, the header information is a virtual address because it is not a physical address of the pipeline, but instead information which is used to generate the physical address of the pipeline, i.e., the identifier. Hence, it is not clear how to make or use the claimed invention without using a virtual address when it appears that applicant is using a virtual address (Header info).

The remaining independent claims are rejected for similar reasons.

All dependent claims are rejected for lacking enablement because they are dependent on non-enabled claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DAVID J. HUISMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-

4168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David J. Huisman/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2183